# CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, CHICO ANNUAL PROGRAM ASSESSMENT REPORT

Date: 28 September 2020

#### I. Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes

1. Program Name and Contact Information of Program Assessment Coordinator:

Computer Animation and Game Development, Clarke Steinback (csteinback@csuchico.edu)

## 2. Student Learning Outcomes

- 1. Recognize and evaluate critical and aesthetic issues within computer graphics and the mixed media. (Issues)
- 2. Apply aesthetic judgments and critical thinking skills to art and graphics related issues. (Aesthetics)
- 3. Demonstrate mastery of specific technical, conceptual and critical abilities within computer graphics and the mixed media. (Abilities)
- 4. Demonstrate proficiency with industrial applications to visual communication related technologies. (Proficiency)
- 5. Communicate effectively in written format on research and creative issues. (Written)
- 6. Communicate effectively in oral format on research and creative issues. (Oral)
- 7. Apply critical thinking and aesthetic judgments in critiquing mixed media and computer graphics productions. (Critiquing)
- 8. Function on multi-disciplinary teams. (Teams)
- 9. Work collaboratively and individually with an understanding of the production process utilized in industrystandard studios. (Process)
- 10. Demonstrate professionalism through creative and intellectual independence. (Professionalism)

## 3. Course Alignment Matrix:

#### **Program Outcome Mapping CAGD Courses to Program Outcomes** Professionalism Proficiency Aesthetic Critiquing Abilities Written Process Teams Issues Oral **Course Title** Course 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 **Computer-Assisted Art** 110 L L I. I. I. I. **Digital Photography** 112 L Ρ Ρ Ρ T. T. Т I. **Concept Design & Storyboarding** 117 L Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Т L L L Ρ Ρ 170 L Ρ Ρ Р L L Video Game Design Т **Digital Modeling** 230 L Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Т Т L **Digital Animation** 240 I. Ρ Ρ Ρ D L Т 325 Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Motion Capture for Game Ρ 3-D Computer Modeling Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ 330 Ρ Ρ Р Ρ Т Ρ Ρ 3-D Character Modeling 331 Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ 340 Ρ Ρ Ρ D D D Ρ **Computer Animation** 345 Ρ Ρ Ρ D D D Ρ Ρ Advanced Animation Pre-Prod Ρ Р Ρ Ρ Ρ 373 Ρ Ρ D Ρ Ρ Ρ I. Game Asset Production **Digital Lighting and Texturing** 420 D D Ρ Ρ D 3-D Character Rigging 432 D D Ρ D 3-D Character Animation 441 Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Ρ Advanced Animation Production 445 D D D D D D D D D Senior Portfolio 493 D D D D D D D D D Advanced Animation Post-Prod 545 D D D D D D D D D

I = Introduced, P = Practiced with Feedback, D = Demonstrated at the Mastery Level

Assessed AY 2019-2020

#### 4. What is your current Assessment Plan

All Program Outcomes, except #1 Issues, assessed in this cycle using embedded assessments. With use of the embedded assessment the Program intends to continue to assess all Program Outcomes. Outcome #1 has been discussed and assessment rubrics will be considered for the next assessment cycle. The Program continues to expand the courses in which embedded assessment data is collected to include all courses over the next few assessment cycles. In the past, the Program has used the ECC Senior Exit Survey to review 'Satisfaction' and 'Preparedness' that can speak to overall trends, however the pandemic and resulting stress on students and faculty as well as the instant change to online instruction, produced low completion rates and the surveys were not used this academic year.

# 5. Learning Outcome(s) Assessed in AY 2019-2020:

- 2 Aesthetics
- 3 Abilities
- 4 Proficiency
- 5 Written
- 6 Oral
- 7 Critiquing
- 8 Teams
- 9 Process
- 10 Professionalism

## 6. Assessment Methodology Used:

For most courses currently providing assessment data, grading rubrics for assignments have rubric elements associated with Program Outcomes. Thus, most courses have many grading elements which service as embedded assessment. Embedded assessments were used in assignments for all courses submitting assessment data. CAGD 110, 112, 117, 230 and 345 are core CAGD courses for the entire Program; CAGD 240, 493 and 545 are required in the Animation Production Option; CAGD 320 and 373 are required in the Game Development Option and are electives in the Animation Production Option; CAGD 325, 330, 331, 420 and 432 are upper-division electives for the students graduating in this cycle. All assessed projects and assignments in these courses have rubrics associated with each element in the rubric linking back to a Program Outcome. For assessment, the rubrics utilized a three (3) point scale – 0, 1, 2 or 3 for evaluating each element in the rubric.

The Program has a shared assessment rubric library which is continuously enhanced and updated. Rubrics are used in grading and in some cases for peer evaluation used for presentations and project critiques. The peer evaluation using the assessment rubrics provides additional assessment data beyond that given by the instructor, teaching associates and graders.

The types of assignments used in the assessment include blog postings, critiques, presentations, papers, assignments, and significant course projects. The grading, and thus, assessment rubrics are published for the students as tools to assist in helping understand assignments and expectation. All rubrics are detailed and phrase-based, using an underlying scale of zero (0) to three (3) reflecting unacceptable to exceptional for assessment. Currently the Program has set a benchmark of 70% of students achieving acceptable and exceptional for the aggregated data for each Program Outcome.

The use of the embedded assessment provides the program with extensive input as to performance on each of the evaluated Program Outcomes for AY 2019-2020; the embedded assessments also reduces the burden on the faculty in that grading with the rubrics provides assessment data collected in Blackboard Learn that the faculty can then export for the assessment coordinator to use for the Program.

## 7. Assessment Results:

Please describe/report outcomes of assessment. How well did students perform on the assessment task? Feel free to use the table below to report results, adapting the table as necessary, or provide narrative describing the assessment results.

In Academic Year 2019 – 2020, nine (9) of the ten (10) Program Outcomes were assessed in 15 different courses in the Program from each academic level – freshman, sophomore, junior and senior. Appendix A provides a summary of the results from the embedded rubrics for each course in which the rubric was used for AY 2019 – 2020. The Program Outcomes for Issues (#1) was not measured in this assessment cycle. The assessment of each measured Program Outcome is derived from rubrics embedded in grading and critiquing assignments in each assessed course. The aggregate assessment outcome averages (See Table 7.1) indicate that the percentage of students achieving the target value of 2.0 was more than 70% in all nine (9) measured Program Outcomes with the Program goal being 70%. All measured Program Outcomes averaged above 82% of the students achieving the target value in the aggregated data. The average target goal for each Program Outcome was 2.0 and the aggregate assessment data indicates that the Program achieved this goal in all nine (9) measured Program Outcomes. All the results displayed in the table are from embedded assessment rubrics associated with assignments in a mixture of Program core, Option-required and CAGD upper-division elective courses. Results for each rubric element in each course are listed in Appendix A with rubric elements used in the assessment tools listed in Appendix B.

Student Learning Outcome	Sample and Sample Size	Measure	Average – Scale 0 – 3 Target 2	Percent of Students Achieving Target
2 Aesthetics	4,471	Embedded course rubrics in course assignments.	2.2	85.4%
3 Abilities	3,011	Embedded course rubrics in course assignments.	2.2	82.5%
4 Proficiency	184	Embedded course rubrics in course assignments.	2.2	83.4%
5 Written	1,248	Embedded course rubrics in course assignments.	2.6	92.9%
6 Oral	144	Embedded course rubrics in course assignments.	2.6	97.9%
7 Critiquing	589	Embedded course rubrics in course assignments.	2.5	88.8%
8 Teams	20	Embedded course rubrics in course assignments.	2.7	100.00%
9 Process	3,515	Embedded course rubrics in course assignments.	2.6	91.7%
10 Professionalism	1,401	Embedded course rubrics in course assignments.	2.4	88.0%

Table 7.1 – AY 2019 – 2020 Program Outcome Assessment Aggregates

The comparison of the aggregate averages between AY 2018 – 2019 and AY 2019 – 2020 (See Table 7.2) shows some minor fluctuation in average target values for each of the measured Program Outcomes. The Percentage of Students Achieving Targets does also show some variation between the two years with all the current year's percentages at or above the target of 70%.

		AY 2018 - 2019	Ð		AY 2019 - 2020	Change		
Student Learning Outcome	Sample and Sample Size	Average – Scale 0 – 3 Target 2	Percent of Students Achieving Target	Sample and Sample Size	Average – Scale 0 – 3 Target 2	Percent of Students Achieving Target	Average	Percent of Students Achieving Target
2 Aesthetics	5,596	2.3	88.54%	4,471	2.2	85.40%	-0.1	-3.14%
3 Abilities	3,453	2.3	82.78%	3,011	2.2	82.50%	-0.1	-0.28%
4 Proficiency	755	2.3	83.70%	184	2.2	83.40%	-0.1	-0.30%
5 Written	890	2.8	94.58%	1,248	2.6	92.90%	-0.2	-1.68%
6 Oral	622	2.5	96.33%	144	2.6	97.90%	0.1	1.57%

Table 7.2 – Comparison AY 2018 – 2019 and AY 2019 – 2020

7 Critiquing	545	2.6	92.28%	589	2.5	88.80%	-0.1	-3.48%
8 Teams	74	2.3	83.00%	20	2.7	100.00%	0.4	17.00%
9 Process	3,886	2.6	91.27%	3,515	2.6	91.70%	0	0.43%
10 Professionalism	1,036	2.6	91.73%	1,401	2.4	88.00%	-0.2	-3.73%

# 8. Analysis / Interpretation /Dissemination of Results

How do the assessment data you have collected and evaluated inform the program or department's understanding of student learning? How were the results shared with the following stakeholders: faculty, students, campus stakeholders, external stakeholders, other?

Overall, the aggregate assessment results demonstrate the achievement of the Program Outcomes within the courses and assignments assessed. The aggregated averages for each of the measured outcomes achieved the target of 2.0 or higher on the 3-point scale for all measured outcomes. Using the objective of 70% of the students achieving the targeted 2.0-level, each of the measured Program Outcomes achieved this goal. These aggregate assessment results show the Program as a whole achieving the targets and goals for the nine (9) measured Program Outcomes.

The embedded assessment data shows the Program attaining the assessment goals with the embedded rubrics. The bulk of the instruction and assessment does rely on under-paid part-time faculty who are dedicated to the success of the students and Program. Maintaining motivation for these lecturers continues to be a challenge in the current environment. The Faculty's investment and buy-in to the Program and assessment are critical, and the faculty and Program need to be valued to assist in not only assessment but also the continued quality of teaching and student success.

For AY 2019 - 2020 the Program was permitted new instructional space and the Program was able to equip this new lab-classroom space by redistributing existing equipment and modifying some spaces to accommodate collaborative environment requiring laptops. The new space for classes benefits the students with additional sections of courses, though with the continued growth of the Program the new space does not provide open time for students to use the equipment outside of classes. To accommodate the Program's growth, the, now three, lab-classrooms are each used approximately 40 hours per week.

Overall, the results of the embedded Program Outcome assessment data show the Program is meeting the targets and goals.

The informal feedback from some industry partners in the prior assessment cycle prompted the Program's curriculum committee to form ad hoc faculty committees to address program needs. The Program will involve other and greater numbers of industry partners in reviewing student work and providing input on curricular changes.

The results of the assessment are distributed to and discussed with the faculty in faculty meetings. Other stakeholders (students, alumni and employers) will be provided the data through web publications.

## 9. Closing the Loop: Planned Program Improvement Actions

How will the assessment data and their evaluation be used to improve and/or maintain the program? Address items a, b, c, d and/or e <u>only if they apply to this report cycle</u>, not all items would necessarily be addressed.

## a) Planned curriculum changes for improved learning outcomes.

For example, revising pedagogy, courses, assignments, curricula, or other learning support mechanisms.

No change in the curriculum is planned or anticipated due to the embedded assessment results other than streamlining the options within the Major. Ongoing updates to the curriculum and pedagogy are expected to continue broadening the use of high-impact practices, flipping the classroom, endeavoring to update high DFW-rate courses and addressing the need for additional sections to accommodate the growing student population.

#### b) Planned Revision of Measures or Metrics (if applicable)

A possible revision of a measure might be to recommend a change in the assignments that are evaluated for program assessment, or the number of assignments examined, and by whom. A metric revision might be for program faculty to decide to change the "bar" for acceptable performance.

The Program Curriculum Committee will review the Course to Program Outcome Matrix and will consider updating the Program's Learning Outcomes for courses, specifically starting with core freshman-level courses to articulate the outcomes of these courses to their follow-on courses in an effort to maintain cohesion within the Program. The Program Curriculum Committee will also consider holding a rubric workshop to assist new faculty in understanding and developing effective rubrics as well as consolidating similar rubrics to provide consistency for students throughout the Program. The faculty will discuss means to increase the completion of senior surveys, and expand our initial outreach to industry for feedback. With limited resources the Program has not completed the start of an Industry Advisory Group and will focus on even a small start of this group in AY 2020 - 2021.

## c) Planned Revisions to Program Objectives or Learning Outcomes (if applicable)

After examining the assessment data it might be appropriate to revise one or more of the Program Objectives or Student Learning Outcomes.

No change in the currently measured Program Outcomes is planned or anticipated.

#### d) Changes to Assessment Schedule (if applicable)

Do the results create a need for change in your assessment schedule? Is so, please describe.

No change in the assessment schedule is planned or anticipated.

#### e) No Change Being Made

Indicate why the results do not call for more change at this time (for example, results indicate Benchmark is being met or exceeded). If results do not compel change or the change necessary cannot be made at this time, or other circumstance, please explain.

Although continued evolution of the assessment along with looking at the coupling of outcomes between courses in the Program, no change in the assessment plan or schedule are anticipated.

#### **10.** Information for Next Year

What learning outcome(s) are you examining next year and who will be the contact person?

The Program anticipates surveying via embedded assessments in the next academic year for each of the ten (10) Program Outcomes:

- 1 Issues
- 2 Aesthetics

- 3 Abilities
- 4 Proficiency
- 5 Written
- 6 Oral
- 7 Critiquing
- 8 Teams
- 9 Process
- 10 Professionalism

Clarke Steinback, the only tenured faculty in the Program, will again be charged with collecting and assembling the assessment data, and thus he will continue to be the contact person for assessment.

# II. Appendices (please include any of the following that are applicable to your program)

# A. Assessment Data Summaries (Details that elaborate on item 6, above.)

Data Summaries for embedded rubrics

Course		РО	Element	Average	STD	Ν	Percent of Students
CAGD	545	2	3D Construction	1.9	0.54	10	80.0%
CAGD	545	2	Aesthetics	2.1	0.54	10	90.0%
CAGD	110	2	Animation	1.9	0.67	78	75.6%
CAGD	110	2	Animation	2.2	0.88	155	81.9%
CAGD	432	2	Animation Ready	2.7	0.58	54	92.6%
CAGD	432	2	Body Rigging	2.5	0.57	27	96.3%
CAGD	110	2	Color/Value	1.9	0.66	337	78.3%
CAGD	110	2	Color/Value	2.0	0.56	154	82.5%
CAGD	117	2	Color/Value	1.9	0.62	22	72.7%
CAGD	110	2	Composition	1.9	0.66	164	76.8%
CAGD	110	2	Composition	2.4	0.68	99	88.9%
CAGD	117	2	Composition	2.0	0.71	22	72.7%
CAGD	331	2	Concept Design	2.7	0.47	15	100.0%
CAGD	331	2	Concept Design	2.8	0.42	9	100.0%
CAGD	345	2	Continuity - Visual	2.5	0.50	43	100.0%
CAGD	345	2	Continuity Beats	2.5	0.96	30	86.7%
CAGD	432	2	Control Curves Setup	1.9	0.65	28	82.1%
CAGD	230	2	Critical Thinking - Aesthetics and Creativity	2.7	0.55	137	95.6%
CAGD	330	2	Critical Thinking - Aesthetics and Creativity	2.3	0.47	30	100.0%
CAGD	420	2	Critical Thinking - Composition, Content, and Aesthetics.	1.9	0.67	13	76.9%
CAGD	112	2	Depth of Field	2.7	0.79	95	90.5%
CAGD	112	2	Exposure	2.8	0.53	95	97.9%
CAGD	240	2	Key Poses	1.9	0.54	58	77.6%
CAGD	325	2	Key Poses	2.6	0.56	25	96.0%
CAGD	441	2	Key Poses	1.8	0.42	77	76.6%
CAGD	112	2	Lighting and Exposure	2.5	0.60	93	94.6%
CAGD	325	2	Personality	3.0	-	25	100.0%
CAGD	441	2	Personality	2.0	0.48	77	87.0%
CAGD	441	2	Poses	2.2	0.57	20	90.0%
CAGD	110	2	Quality	1.7	0.70	346	65.9%
CAGD	110	2	Quality	2.2	0.68	155	87.1%
CAGD	117	2	Quality	2.0	0.69	65	78.5%

CAGD	230	2	Rendering	2.6	0.60	106	97.2%
CAGD	330	2	Rendering	2.1	0.66	29	82.8%
CAGD	331	2	Rendering	2.2	0.42	13	100.0%
CAGD	331	2	Rendering	1.8	0.83	12	50.0%
CAGD	320	2	Scene Composition and Rendering	2.6	0.61	73	95.9%
CAGD	345	2	Script Formatting and Completion	1.8	0.77	50	66.0%
CAGD	432	2	Second Rig: Animation	2.7	0.53	27	96.3%
CAGD	112	2	Source Images	2.5	0.56	90	96.7%
CAGD	240	2	Staging	2.2	0.83	175	77.1%
CAGD	441	2	Staging	2.8	0.37	6	100.0%
CAGD	110	2	Story	2.1	0.63	165	84.2%
CAGD	110	2	Story	2.4	0.74	98	86.7%
CAGD	117	2	Story	2.2	0.67	44	86.4%
CAGD	345	2	Storyboard Panels	1.9	0.84	50	80.0%
CAGD	110	2	Texture	1.8	0.69	338	74.3%
CAGD	110	2	Texture	2.0	0.56	154	82.5%
CAGD	230	2	Texturing	2.3	0.72	137	88.3%
CAGD	330	2	Texturing	1.9	0.92	28	67.9%
CAGD	240	2	Timing/Spacing	1.8	0.51	118	72.9%
CAGD	432	2	Video Demo Reel	2.7	0.47	27	100.0%
CAGD	330	2	Virtual Sculpting	2.5	0.62	30	93.3%
CAGD	331	2	Virtual Sculpting	2.2	0.70	29	82.8%
CAGD	331	2	Virtual Sculpting	1.7	0.94	9	55.6%
CAGD	112	2	Visually Compelling/Composition	2.5	0.66	95	94.7%
		2 Av	erage	2.2	0.62	4,471	85.4%
CAGD	432	3	Advanced Features for the Rig	2.3	0.80	27	85.2%
CAGD	240	3	Appeal	1.6	0.61	59	55.9%
CAGD	545	3	Arrangement	1.7	0.45	7	71.4%
CAGD	345	3	Audio	2.2	0.54	43	93.0%
CAGD	112	3	Blending Images Together	2.2	0.63	92	89.1%
CAGD	345	3	Camera Angles and Movement	2.3	0.52	43	97.7%
CAGD	325	3	Camera Composition	2.3	0.63	55	90.9%
CAGD	331	3	Clay Renders	2.9	0.27	13	100.0%
CAGD	112	3	Digital Darkroom	2.4	0.67	278	92.1%
CAGD	331	3	Edge Loops	2.0	0.55	13	84.6%
CAGD	331	3	Edge Loops	1.6	0.84	21	57.1%
CAGD	331	3	Form and Proportions	2.6	0.51	57	98.2%
CAGD	331	3	Form and Proportions	1.8	0.75	21	57.1%
CAGD	420	3	Form and Proportions	2.4	0.50	31	93.5%
CAGD	432	3	Functionality of the Rig	1.6	0.61	28	64.3%
CAGD	432	3	Head Setup	2.1	0.83	28	78.6%
CAGD	432	3	IK Setup	2.2	0.97	28	67.9%
CAGD	432	3	Joint Placement	2.5	0.79	27	88.9%
CAGD	230	3	Lighting	2.4	0.72	106	89.6%
CAGD	325	3	Lighting	2.0	0.58	27	85.2%
CAGD	330	3	Lighting	2.3	0.59	29	93.1%
CAGD	331	3	Lighting	2.1	0.47	13	92.3%
CAGD	331	3	Lighting	1.7	0.75	12	66.7%

CAGD	420	3	Lighting	2.3	0.51	43	93.0%
CAGD	545	3	Lighting	2.0	-	3	100.0%
CAGD	330	3	Low-Poly Modeling	2.7	0.71	29	93.1%
CAGD	330	3	Map Baking	2.6	0.61	28	92.9%
CAGD	112	3	Merging Images	2.5	0.94	82	87.8%
CAGD	325	3	Mocap Animation	2.3	0.57	145	95.2%
CAGD	230	3	Modeling & Overall Geometry	2.5	0.65	137	92.7%
CAGD	330	3	Modeling & Overall Geometry	1.7	0.93	15	40.0%
CAGD	373	3	Modeling & Overall Geometry	2.6	0.57	26	96.2%
CAGD	331	3	Overall Geometry	2.0	0.99	41	68.3%
CAGD	331	3	Overall Geometry	1.1	0.89	21	19.0%
CAGD	420	3	Overall Geometry	2.1	0.82	31	80.6%
CAGD	330	3	Polygon Limit	2.8	0.64	30	93.3%
CAGD	331	3	Polygon Limit	2.9	0.37	28	96.4%
CAGD	331	3	Polygon Limit	2.6	0.64	12	91.7%
CAGD	420	3	Polygon Limit	2.5	0.44	30	86.7%
CAGD	331	3	Polypainting	1.9	0.88	14	57.1%
CAGD	112	3	Project Guidelines	2.8	0.50	280	95.7%
CAGD	230	3	Scene Interaction	2.5	0.76	31	90.3%
CAGD	373	3	Scene Interaction	2.2	1.27	25	76.0%
CAGD	330	3	Shader Networks	2.4	0.49	14	100.0%
CAGD	331	3	Shader Networks	2.8	0.36	13	100.0%
CAGD	331	3	Shader Networks	1.7	1.35	11	63.6%
CAGD	420	3	Shader Networks	2.4	0.51	13	92.3%
CAGD	325	3	Shot Composition	2.2	0.54	65	92.3%
CAGD	325	3	Technical Import	2.5	0.75	26	84.6%
CAGD	545	3	Texture Arrangement	1.7	0.47	3	66.7%
CAGD	373	3	Texture Creation and Baking	2.3	0.82	26	84.6%
CAGD	331	3	Textures	2.3	0.87	28	85.7%
CAGD	331	3	Textures	1.4	1.05	21	47.6%
CAGD	420	3	Textures Maps	2.4	0.50	44	95.5%
CAGD	110	3	Translation	1.9	0.77	171	75.4%
CAGD	110	3	Translation	2.9	0.33	56	100.0%
CAGD	331	3	Uniform Spaced Geometry	2.6	0.49	13	100.0%
CAGD	331	3	Uniform Spaced Geometry	1.5	0.76	12	33.3%
CAGD	330	3	Use of Topology	2.7	0.44	15	100.0%
CAGD	230	3	UV Mapping	2.3	0.79	137	83.2%
CAGD	330	3	UV Mapping	2.5	0.73	28	92.9%
CAGD	331	3	UV Mapping	2.3	0.66	27	88.9%
CAGD	331	3	UV Mapping	1.8	1.03	20	65.0%
CAGD	420	3	UV Mapping	2.0	0.85	31	77.4%
CAGD	320	3	UV Mapping Techniques	2.5	0.68	73	91.8%
CAGD	432	3	Weighting	2.3	0.92	55	87.3%
			verage	2.2	0.67	3,011	82.5%
CAGD	345	4	Animatic	1.6	1.02	50	68.0%
CAGD	373	4	Asset Creation and Poly Count Utilization	2.8	0.37	6	100.0%
CAGD	432	4	Auto Roll	0.3	0.75	27	11.1%
CAGD	345	4	Character Sheets	2.0	0.91	43	69.8%

CAGD	345	4	Continuity	2.7	0.51	53	98.1%
CAGD	345	4	Document Consistency	2.8	0.39	43	100.0%
CAGD	325	4	Following Tutorial Instructions	2.2	0.80	56	87.5%
CAGD	545	4	Narrative Conveyance	2.1	0.30	10	100.0%
CAGD	420	4	Photogrammetry	3.0	-	13	100.0%
CAGD	240	4	Principles of Animation	1.8	0.64	58	72.4%
CAGD	441	4	Principles of Animation	1.9	0.42	41	82.9%
CAGD	420	4	Rendering Setup	2.5	0.50	13	100.0%
CAGD	325	4	Storytelling	2.1	0.86	37	78.4%
CAGD	373	4	Texture Creation, Baking, and Exporting	3.0	-	6	100.0%
CAGD	373	4	Unity Scene Construction & Interaction	2.1	1.25	26	73.1%
CAGD	373	4	UV Layout, Organization, and Atlasing	2.4	0.82	32	84.4%
CAGD	112	4	Visually Compelling	2.5	0.64	184	92.9%
		4 A	verage	2.2	0.60	698	83.4%
CAGD	345	5	Analysis quality	2.9	0.31	54	100.0%
CAGD	345	5	Content quantity	2.9	0.35	54	98.1%
CAGD	345	5	Formatting	2.8	0.41	53	98.1%
CAGD	345	5	Formatting & Grammar	2.7	0.64	54	94.4%
CAGD	345	5	Formatting, Grammar & Spelling	2.6	0.80	30	93.3%
CAGD	345	5	Grammar & Spelling	2.7	0.47	96	100.0%
CAGD	345	5	Grammar and Style	2.9	0.35	28	100.0%
CAGD	112	5	Reflective Commentary/Analysis	2.8	0.49	94	96.8%
CAGD	230	5	Reflective Commentary/Analysis	2.8	0.51	99	96.0%
CAGD	320	5	Reflective Commentary/Analysis	1.5	0.84	71	63.4%
CAGD	345	5	Reflective Commentary/Analysis	2.4	0.88	48	81.3%
CAGD	373	5	Reflective Commentary/Analysis	2.7	0.56	21	95.2%
CAGD	345	5	Sources and Evidence	2.4	0.85	28	82.1%
CAGD	345	5	Title, Genre, Logline	2.4	0.96	83	72.3%
CAGD	420	5	Writeup	2.8	0.24	12	91.7%
CAGD	112	5	Write-up	2.6	0.71	91	93.4%
CAGD	112	5	Writing Conventions: Formatting, Grammar, and Spelling	3.0	0.18	93	100.0%
CAGD	230	5	Writing Conventions: Formatting, Grammar, and Spelling	2.9	0.34	99	99.0%
CAGD	320	5	Writing Conventions: Formatting, Grammar, and Spelling	2.1	0.32	71	98.6%
CAGD	345	5	Writing Conventions: Formatting, Grammar, and Spelling	2.8	0.48	48	97.9%
CAGD	373	5	Writing Conventions: Formatting, Grammar, and Spelling	2.9	0.35	21	100.0%
		5 A	verage	2.6	0.53	1,248	92.9%
CAGD	230	6	Body language	2.0	-	8	100.0%
CAGD	373	6	Body Language	3.0	-	6	100.0%
CAGD	230	6	Distracting mannerisms	3.0	-	8	100.0%
CAGD	230	6	Hesitations, other voice habits	2.3	0.43	8	100.0%
CAGD	230	6	Maintaining eye contact	3.0	-	8	100.0%
CAGD	373	6	Maintaining Eye Contact	3.0	-	6	100.0%
CAGD	230	6	Poise	2.3	0.43	8	100.0%
CAGD	230	6	Voice Volume, Enunciation & Speed	2.6	0.48	8	100.0%
CAGD	345	6	Voice volume, enunciation & speed	2.4	0.63	78	97.4%
CAGD	373	6	Voice Volume, Enunciation & Speed	2.8	0.37	6	100.0%
		6 A	verage	2.6	0.24	144	99.7%
CAGD	112	7	Commentary	2.5	0.87	143	86.0%

CAGD	230	7	Commentary	2.4	0.91	34	88.2%
CAGD	345	7	Commentary	2.1	0.83	79	81.0%
CAGD	112	7	Critique Posts	2.8	0.76	143	91.6%
CAGD	345	7	Critiques Replies	2.7	0.80	79	91.1%
CAGD	345	7	Textual Content	2.3	0.67	53	92.5%
CAGD	345	7	Textual Content Moral & Theme	2.3	1.14	30	80.0%
CAGD	345	7	Writing Content	2.9	0.31	28	100.0%
		7 A	verage	2.5	0.78	589	88.8%
CAGD	325	8	Teamwork	3.0	-	10	100.0%
CAGD	545	8	Teamwork	2.3	0.46	10	100.0%
		8 Av	verage	2.7	0.23	20	100.0%
CAGD	373	9	3D and Game Software Organization	2.7	0.47	6	100.0%
CAGD	325	9	Camera & Rendering	2.6	0.80	55	80.0%
CAGD	420	9	Camera & Rendering	1.9	0.71	31	74.2%
CAGD	331	9	Camera, Lighting, & Rendering	2.5	0.50	30	100.0%
CAGD	331	9	Camera, Lighting, & Rendering	1.8	1.13	9	66.7%
CAGD	345	9	Document Submission	2.7	0.50	43	97.7%
CAGD	373	9	Environment Composition, Interaction, and Aesthetic	2.7	0.47	6	100.0%
CAGD	345	9	File Naming and Format	3.0	0.20	50	100.0%
CAGD	432	9	File Organization and Formats	3.0	0.19	27	100.0%
CAGD	112	9	File Structure	2.9	0.37	188	98.4%
CAGD	320	9	File Structure & Formats	2.9	0.40	73	97.3%
CAGD	112	9	File Structure & Layer Organization	2.9	0.25	93	100.0%
CAGD	230	9	File Structure and Formats	2.8	0.46	137	97.1%
CAGD	373	9	File Structure, Naming, and Working Files	2.6	0.70	32	93.8%
CAGD	325	9	File Structures and Formats	2.8	0.60	172	91.9%
CAGD	330	9	File Structures and Formats	2.8	0.50	44	95.5%
CAGD	331	9	File Structures and Formats	2.8	0.63	56	92.9%
CAGD	331	9	File Structures and Formats	2.8	0.61	21	90.5%
CAGD	420	9	File Structures and Formats	2.7	0.36	44	90.9%
CAGD	110	9	File Submission	2.9	0.47	332	96.4%
CAGD	110	9	File Submission	2.8	0.48	154	96.8%
CAGD	117	9	File Submission	2.9	0.40	65	98.5%
CAGD	240	9	File Submission	2.9	0.30	175	98.3%
CAGD	441	9	File Submission	2.9	0.33	98	100.0%
CAGD	230	9	First Post	2.7	0.47	34	100.0%
CAGD	373	9	Game Scene Post-Processing	2.2	0.69	6	83.3%
CAGD	320	9	Material/Texture Creation and Baking	2.3	0.77	73	86.3%
CAGD	230	9	Model Export and Import	2.8	0.37	31	100.0%
CAGD	112	9	Online Post	2.8	0.64	143	90.9%
CAGD	345	9	Online Post	2.7	0.76	79	87.3%
CAGD	432	9	Outliner Organized	2.7	0.66	28	96.4%
CAGD	420	9	Quality of Work	2.0	0.55	13	84.6%
CAGD	493	9	Quality of Work	2.2	0.62	36	88.9%
CAGD	493	9	Quality of Work	2.3	0.73	53	90.6%
CAGD	545	9	Quality of Work	1.9	0.70	10	70.0%
CAGD	230	9	Reply Posts	2.7	0.85	34	91.2%
CAGD	230	9	Scene Organization	2.8	0.50	137	96.4%

CAGD	320	9	Scene Organization	2.8	0.65	73	95.9%
CAGD	330	9	Scene Organization	2.7	0.62	44	90.9%
CAGD	331	9	Scene Organization	2.9	0.32	56	98.2%
CAGD	331	9	Scene Organization	2.6	0.85	21	85.7%
CAGD	373	9	Scene Organization	2.4	0.69	25	96.0%
CAGD	110	9	Task Management	2.1	0.80	165	78.2%
CAGD	110	9	Task Management	2.4	0.75	98	83.7%
CAGD	117	9	Task Management	2.2	0.49	22	95.5%
CAGD	240	9	Task Management	1.9	0.65	175	73.7%
CAGD	441	9	Task Management	2.7	0.47	6	100.0%
CAGD	373	9	Unity Importation	2.3	1.07	26	76.9%
CAGD	112	9	Web Gallery	2.7	0.70	186	94.6%
		9 A	verage	2.6	0.58	3,515	91.7%
CAGD	493	10	Content - Professional	2.2	0.70	36	83.3%
CAGD	493	10	Content - Professional	2.4	0.73	53	92.5%
CAGD	345	10	Organization	3.0	0.19	28	100.0%
CAGD	493	10	Organization - Professional	2.4	0.71	36	91.7%
CAGD	493	10	Organization - Professional	2.5	0.72	53	90.6%
CAGD	373	10	Professionalism - Blog Post	2.9	0.26	27	100.0%
CAGD	112	10	Professionalism - ePortfolio	3.0	0.21	94	98.9%
CAGD	230	10	Professionalism - ePortfolio	3.0	0.20	99	99.0%
CAGD	320	10	Professionalism - ePortfolio	2.7	0.46	71	100.0%
CAGD	345	10	Professionalism - ePortfolio	2.6	0.49	48	100.0%
CAGD	230	10	Slideshow	3.0	-	8	100.0%
CAGD	345	10	Storyboard	2.4	0.63	78	94.9%
CAGD	112	10	Usability and Accessibility: Site Navigation, Text Elements, Layout, and Color	2.8	0.56	93	95.7%
CAGD	230	10	Usability and Accessibility: Site Navigation, Text Elements, Layout,	2.7	0.54	99	94.9%
CAGD	320	10	Usability and Accessibility: Site Navigation, Text Elements, Layout,	2.4	0.62	71	93.0%
CAGD	345	10	Usability and Accessibility: Site Navigation, Text Elements, Layout,	2.4	0.84	48	85.4%
CAGD	373	10	Usability and Accessibility: Site Navigation, Text Elements, Layout,	2.9	0.29	21	100.0%
CAGD	112	10	Visual Communication	2.7	0.60	94	94.7%
CAGD	230	10	Visual Communication	2.7	0.63	99	92.9%
CAGD	320	10	Visual Communication	2.0	0.81	71	87.3%
CAGD	345	10	Visual Communication	2.6	0.67	48	93.8%
CAGD	373	10	Visual Communication	2.8	0.50	27	96.3%
CAGD	493	10	Work Ethic	2.5	0.60	36	94.4%
CAGD	493	10	Work Ethic	2.5	0.74	53	92.5%
CAGD	545	10	Work Ethic	2.0	0.63	10	80.0%
		10	Average	2.6	0.53	1,401	94.1%
		Gran	nd Average	2.4	0.59	15,097	88.0%

# B. Measurement Instruments (Rubrics, Surveys, etc.)

#### **Embedded Rubric Elements**

Outcome #2 Apply aesthetic judgments and critical thinking skills to art and graphics related issues. (Aesthetic)

ſ	Attributes	Description	Unacceptable	Marginal	Acceptable	Exceptional	Cours e
ſ		Value	0	1	2	3	

•	20			V 25			
2	3D Construction		You have not met the requirements of the brief in most of the requested elements.	Your 3D modelling displays problems in the creation of efficient geometry through topological waste and/or misuse. Objects may lack a strong sense of presence through struggling forms and uninteresting silhouettes. Your work may struggle to represent the shapes of your research.	You have displayed some good efficiency in the creation of your 3D assets, with limited to no misuse or waste present. You have achieved some positive visual goals outlined in your asset research, and there is a good sense of shape and form in the silhouette of your models.	You have displayed good efficiency in your geometry through the precise topology of your assets. You have achieved most or all of the visual goals outlined by your supporting asset research. Your assets have a strong sense of weight through good shape and form, evident in your model silhouettes.	545
2	Aesthetics		The final visual quality of the scene is somewhat poor, not achieving expectation. This may be due to a lack of aesthetic cohesion, poor post processing effects or generally poor aesthetics. It is evident that there is a fair amount more work required to bring this scene up to the visual bar.	The final visual quality of the scene is fair, not achieving expectation. This may be due to a lack of aesthetic cohesion, poorly executed aesthetics. It is evident that there is more work required to bring this scene up to the visual bar. I know that are a lot better than I do	You have done a good job in achieving most of the aesthetic expectations for this assignment. There is room for improvement here still, however, in visual quality, scene cohesion or in the utilization of post processing effects.	You have achieved the expected, high visual bar, laid out in the brief. There is a strong sense of scene cohesion in the utilization of the teams artwork, and there is a good sense of final polish to your project. Well done.	545
2	Animation	Simple animation that shows off the basic functionality of the rig.	Had no animation.	Animation had minor problem. Animation was hard to understand.	Animation was good. Shows off functionality.	Animation was great. Shows off functionality perfectly	110
2	Animation Ready	The proper animation controls and attributes are ready for the animator.	Didn't lock and hide unwanted controls and attributes. Didn't use display layers to hide blendshapes.	Locked and hid some unwanted controls and attributes. Didn't use display layers to hide blendshapes.	Forgot to Lock and hide one or two unwanted controls and attributes.	The proper animation controls and attributes are ready for the animator.	432
2	Body Rigging	Is the functionality easy to use and readable by an animator?	Holy cow! did you even pay attention in class!	The body rig contains many problems and issues with its functionality.	The body rig contains minor problems and issues with its functionality.	Functionality of the body Rig is understandable to animator.	432
2	Color/Value	Is their enough variety of color and value in your image to create a feeling of dimensionalit y?	Color is applied thoughtlessly, flat, and/or is distracting from the image.	Color is explored but may seem minimal, blotchy or distracting.	Color enhances dimensionality and readability of the scene with little to no issues.	Color application is clear and intuitive, successfully enhancing illusion as well as mood.	110 117

2	Composition	Did the student utilize composition principles to create the scene?	Little to no consideration for determining an appropriate composition for the scene.	Seemingly aware of the arrangement of subject matter within image.	Placement of subject matter and camera supports the overall composition of the scene.	Placement of subject matter and camera clearly and effectively supports the construct of the scene as well as energizes the conceptual meaning.	110 117
2	Concept Design	Did the student utilize concept design appropriately for the 3D model?	Little to no consideration for determining an appropriate design of the 3D model.	Followed the basic concept design for the 3D model. (Followed tutorial only)	Followed the basic concept design with some unique design characteristics for the 3d model.	Used a unique or Exceptional concept design to facilitate a 3D model.	331
2	Continuity - Visual	Was continuity demonstrated throughout all scenes and shots in the animatic?	Continuity throughout is severely lacking and therefore, does not effectively communicate the story.	Continuity within scenes/shots is lacking, disturbing the flow of the story.	Continuity within scenes/shots is evident but could use some improvement.	Continuity within scenes/shots is evident with consistent direction and orientation of character movement and story is followed without getting lost.	345
2	Continuity Beats	Was continuity evident throughout the beats?	Continuity is not present or too minimal, causing too much disruption in the flow of the story.	Continuity was minimal, losing the flow of the story significantly.	Continuity was lacking, somewhat disturbing the flow of the story.	Continuity was demonstrated through the beat sheet with the story flowing logically.	345
2	Control Curves Setup	The proper animation controls and attributes are ready for the animator.	Didn't lock and hide unwanted controls and attributes. Didn't use display layers	Locked and hid some unwanted controls and attributes Didn't use display layers	Forgot to Lock and hide one or two unwanted controls and attributes.	The proper animation controls and attributes are ready for the animator.	432
2	Critical Thinking - Aesthetics and Creativity	Are all models in the scene appropriate for the concept and arranged in Unity to demonstrate cohesiveness and a communicate d meaning and composition?	Little to no consideration for determining an appropriate composition for the scene. The scene did not effectively communicate a story and is unsupportive of the chosen game concept.	Scene composition minimally communicates a story and a likeness to the game concept and contains several inconsistencies/errors along with little relative proportionality and artistic concept, creating an environment that is minimally cohesive.	Scene composition communicates an effective color scheme, story and a likeness to the game concept with most buildings in the scene being proportional and following the same artistic concept with few inconsistencies.	Scene composition communicates a story and a likeness to the game concept with all buildings exhibiting proportionality, following the same artistic concept and are arranged to create a cohesive environment fitting with the overall game concept.	230 330

2	Critical Thinking - Composition, Content, and Aesthetics.	Was the scene considered and constructed to convey meaning and utilize an effective combination of aesthetic elements and creativity?	The scene shows little evidence of thought regarding content and camera movement.	The scene accomplishes the assignment adequately, yet shows a lack of planning and little evidence that an overall composition was thought out and executed. Tried to be creative but does not work; it has a look and feel that is very common and does not stand out from the crowd.	The content and camera movement of the scene is meaningful. It is nice and works well, but is not unique; The scene shows application of the composition but lacking elements that would make it standout from the rest.	The content and camera movement of the scene is meaningful and stands above the rest. The scene shows an awareness of composition and a effective color scheme. It uses a unique approach that truly enhances the project; it shows creativity that works, it is exciting, fresh and intriguing.	420
2	Depth of Field	Does the photo demonstrate the use of depth of field components to achieve a shallow depth of field while maintaining a clear point of focus?	Shallow depth of field is not present in the photo.	Point of focus is unclear, shallow depth of field is not well demonstrated.	DOF components were utilized but could have been improved to contain a shallower depth of field or a clearer point of focus.	DOF components were utilized to provide excellent shallow depth of field and point of focus, demonstrating understanding of the relationship between aperture, focal length, and distance from the subject.	112
2	Exposure	Did students consider and utilize value appropriately for their subject matter?	Did not take value into consideration.	Value implemented incorrectly or value should have been utilized better.	Value was considered and implemented appropriately.	Superior - careful attention to detail, value well executed.	112
2	Key Poses	Secondary action animation was applied to the mocap for the character.	No secondary action in the character. Hands and head are in default poses. Limbs move into the body, needed to add animation layers to help add offsets.	Poor secondary action in the character. Head and hands are in default pose in some clips. Limbs move into the body, needed to add animation layers to help add offsets.	Good secondary action in character. Head and hands have good animation in most clips but need further refinement. Good timing for the head or/ hands could have been added to each clip.	Excellent secondary action in the character in the head and Hands.	240 325 441
2	Lighting and Exposure	Did they consider and utilize lighting and value appropriately for their subject matter.	Did not take lighting into consideration	Lighting implemented incorrectly or alternative lighting should have been used.	Lighting was considered and implemented appropriately, but could use some improvement.	Lighting well executed.	112
2	Personality	Effectively performance of personality.	Incoherent performance. Poses, no clear understanding of what the character is thinking/doing.	Some actions of the character are overall still vague. Little to no thought put into motives/subtext. Some attention to silhouette but poses are difficult to read.	A clear understanding of personality could use a bit more thought into subtext. Poses are strong but need further refinement.	Convincing performance. Solid poses, movements reads well.	325 441

	-		-				
2	Poses		Few poses, no clear understanding to what the character is thinking/doing.	Some attention to silhouette but poses are difficult to read.	Poses are strong but need either refinement or more poses.	Solid poses, scene reads well.	441
2	Quality	How well do your drawings read?	Drawings are difficult to understand and/or don't seem to reinforce a Story	Drawings seem to reinforce a story but show technical issues with line quality, perspective and/or value.	Drawings are clean and easy to read/understand.	Line quality, perspective and value are all evident and attended to creating a clear and easy to follow story.	110 117
2	Rendering	How well and skillfully was camera & rendering tools used?	Did you even pay attention in class?	Did not use production render settings. The render shows limited use or knowledge of render setting tools.	The scene shows an awareness of using rendering tools but has one error.	Rendering settings are well executed. Proper resolutions and antialiasing settings.	230 330 331
2	Scene Composition and Rendering	Was the scene appropriately composed to communicate a story/mood, contain color, texture compatibility, applicable lighting and dynamic camera placement?	The scene needs significant improvement to communicate story/mood.	Scene composition and make-up needs much improvement to communicate story/mood.	Scene could have been composed to better communicate story/mood with improvement to color, texture compatibility, model placement/relationship, lighting, and camera placement.	Scene was composed to communicate a story/mood with color, texture compatibility, model placement/relationshi p, lighting, and camera placement.	320
2	Script Formatting and Completion	Was the script completed with proper formatting and contain the entirety of the commercial?	Several formatting errors were present and/or the commercial was not represented in its entirety or chronologically followed.	The script contained many formatting errors and/or demonstrated some inconsistencies in content.	The script contained some formatting errors but the entirety of the script was present and in chronological order.	The script demonstrated proper formatting per provided examples and contained the entirety of the commercial in chronological order.	345
2	Second Rig: Animation	Simple animation that shows off the basic functionality of the rig.	had no animation.	Animation is missing allot of functionality of the rig.	Animation is missing a few parts that shows off the complete functionality of the rig.	Animation shows off the complete functionality of the rig.	432
2	Source Images	Images that are effectively used in the final composition. Exposure, lighting and composition.	None of the source images are not Implemented correctly.	Exposure, lighting and composition was not well considered or executed.	Exposure, lighting and composition was considered and implemented appropriately with no or few errors.	Superior - careful attention to detail, well executed.	112
2	Staging		Composition is unattended to, minimal use of camera and/or camera is disruptive to the story.	Composition is considered with little to no camera changes and/or considerable compositional issues.	Scenes are staged and delivered in a natural and readable manner consistent with the story.	Each scene is taken into careful consideration, supporting the story artistically as well as visually.	240 441

2	Story	Does the subject matter in your image bring together a cohesive story or do they feel random and unassociated.	composition does not seem to make any effort towards maintaining a narrative.	Subject matter delivers subtle evidence of association and thus an effort towards a narrative.	A narrative is clear expressed in the selection and presentation of the subject matter.	Subject matter and composition work together in a successful union to create an image worthy of a children's book.	110 117
2	Storyboard Panels	Were the required number of panels present and demonstratin g all required content?	More than one storyboard panel was missing and several pieces of required content.	At least one storyboard panel was missing and/or an increasing amount of required content.	Some required content was missing but very little.	The required number of storyboard panels were created, containing all of the required content: scene & shot number, timing, action, and dialogue	345
2	Texture	Did you research and apply appropriate textures to your objects?	No textures or textures are distracting from the objects that they surface.	Textures are missing in portions of the image or have considerable inconsistencies.	Textures reinforce all components of the image with little to no distortion.	A superior exhibition of texturing that is compliant with lighting as well as perspective.	110
2	Texturing	Did the student consider and utilize textures appropriately for scene? Color, specular, reflection, bump, normal and/or displacement.	Did not take textures into consideration or poorly executed	Textures implemented incorrectly or alternative textures should have been used.	Adequate textures were considered and implemented appropriately.	Superior - all textures well executed.	230 330
2	Timing/Spacing	Does your subject move smoothly or do frames feel choppy and/or left out making the performance difficult to read?	Timing & spacing are choppy or have blank/bad key frames unattended to. Performance is unreadable.	Timing & spacing feel smooth but with performance inconsistencies.	Timing & spacing are well thought and placed yielding a clear, readable performance.	Timing & spacing deliver a completely natural performance absorbing the viewers in the reality of the world created.	240
2	Video Demo Reel	Created a video showing the functionality of the rig.	"Poorly showed the functionality of the rig in the video or didn't create a video."	Partially (70%) showed the functionality of the rig in the video.	Showed 90% of the functionality of the rig in the video.	Showed all of the functionality of the rig in the video.	432

2	Virtual Scuplting	How skillful on applying a normal maps from using a virtual sculpting software.	No maps was applied to the character model.	Normal map was not executed appropriately. "Detail pass" was unconvincing for the character model.	Normal maps were executed . "Detail pass" was somewhat convincing for the character model.	Normal maps were executed perfectly. "Detail pass" was believable for the character model.	330 331
2	Visually Compelling/Co mposition	Are principles of composition utilized successfully to create a visually compelling image?	Did not take visual elements or principles of composition into consideration.	Utilized 1 or 2 of the principles of composition of visual elements, but not well considered or executed.	Collaboration of visual elements and principles of composition implemented but could use some improvement.	Careful implementation of collaboration of principles of composition and visual elements.	112

Outcome #3

me #3 Demonstrate mastery of specific technical, conceptual and critical abilities within computer graphics and the mixed media. (Abilities)

	Attributes	Description	Unacceptable	Marginal	Acceptable	Exceptional	Cours e
		Value	0	1	2	3	
3	Advanced Features for the Rig	Add advanced functions and feature for the vehicle rig	Holy cow! did you even pay attention in class!	Rigged a standard car rig with average doors, steering, and suspension.	Rigged above the standard functions for a car or truck.	Rigged well above the standard functions with advanced features.	432
3	Appeal	Do your performance choices feel true to the motives and subtext of your subject matter. Do you use the Principles of Animation creatively to support those choices?	Incoherent performance. Subject shows little readable personality traits.	Poses show some insight into character's intent. Motives, backstory or subtext may feel unexplored.	Performance delivers a clear, consistent and readable sense of motive and thought.	A convincing as well as entertaining performance. Viewers are immersed in the mindset of the character without missing a beat.	240
3	Arrangement	All objects have been arranged within the scene so as to provide a clear representatio n of the space. The arrangement creates visual interest for a compelling scene.	Quality of work is subpar. Better arrangement needed to create visual interest for a compelling scene.	Better arrangement needed to create visual interest for a compelling scene. Work is readable but is evident that more practice is necessary.	Objects in the scene have been arranged within the scene to provide a clear representation of the space. Some better arrangement needed to create visual interest for a compelling scene.	Excellent use of objects arranged within the scene so as to provide a clear representation of the space. The arrangement creates visual interest for a compelling scene.	545

-							
3	Audio		Little or no attempt to add and time the audio correctly.	Audio is considered, but poorly accomplished or timed.	The audio track matches the scenes and shots well.	The audio track is well accomplished and timed, adding to the story and the emotional impact on the audience.	345
3	Blending Images Together	Blending of visual elements together in a seamless blend.	Blending of visual elements together was not achieved.	Blending of visual elements together ineffectually achieved, allot of inconsistent errors.	Appropriately blending of visual elements together with no or few errors.	Superior - careful attention to detail, well executed.	112
3	Camera Angles and Movement	Are camera angles, shot, and movements used appropriately to effectively communicate the story?	Camera angle and type of shot required is unacceptable to communicate story effectively.	Camera angle and type of shot required is poorly communicated and needs significant improvement.	Camera angle and type of shot required to communicate story is acceptable but could use improvement.	Camera angle and type of shot required to communicate story is well established.	345
3	Camera Composition	Did the student utilize composition principles to create the scene?	Little to no consideration for determining an appropriate composition for the scene.	Seemingly aware of the arrangement of subject matter within image.	Placement of subject matter and camera supports the overall composition of the scene.	Placement of subject matter and camera clearly and effectively supports the construct of the scene as well as energizes the conceptual meaning.	325
3	Clay Renders	Did students model in quads and used edge loops efficiently.	Did not use the plug- in.	No adjustment layers or extra work evident.	Rendered and used the PS plug-in correctly, however, it could use some improvement.	Well considered and well executed - composite. A complete and rendered image.	331
3	Digital Darkroom	Did students consider and utilize the tools and techniques in Photoshop appropriately for their project.	Did not use Photoshop techniques in project.	Photoshop techniques were implemented incorrectly or only one Photoshop technique was used significantly.	Photoshop techniques were considered and implemented appropriately but could use some improvement.	Photoshop techniques were well executed. More than one Photoshop technique was demonstrated.	112
3	Edge Loops	Edge loops and flow zones were distributed across the character body and head correctly.	Did not implement edge loops and flow zones across the character model correctly. Lack of detail.	Lacking of detail, from a result of incorrectly placing edge loops and flow zones.	Appropriately applied edge loops and flow zones across the model except for one or two instances.	Placement of edge loops and flow zones were well thought-out and executed correctly.	331

3	Form and Proportions	Does the model present basic proportional and body volume relationships appropriately for its subject matter.	Did not take proportions & body volume into consideration. (Model looks nothing like the completed tutorial)	Collaboration of proportions & body volume implemented incorrectly. (Model barely meets the same proportions & volume as the tutorial)	Collaboration of proportions & body volume implemented appropriately. (Model closely mimics the tutorial)	Superior implementation of collaboration of proportions & body volume. (Model goes beyond the tutorial and does it efficiently)	331 420
3	Functionality of the Rig	Was the tutorial followed? Is the functionality readable by an animator?	Holy cow! did you even pay attention in class!	The Rig contains many problems and issues with its functionality.	The Rig contains minor problems and issues with its functionality.	Functionality of the Rig is understandable.	432
3	Head Setup	Was the proper IK Setup used for the head and neck.	The head and neck set up was not configured.	The head and neck was not configured properly. Multiple problems or issues can be found in the setup.	The head and neck was not configured properly with one or two problems or issues can be found in the setup.	The head and neck configured properly on the legs and neck.	432
3	IK Setup	Was the proper IK Setup used for the legs?	The wrong IK Setup used on all the legs. Needed to use Spring IK	Used some of wrong IK Setup on the legs. Needed to use Spring IK	Missed one wrong IK Setup on the legs and arms	Used the correct IK Setup for the legs and arms	432
3	Joint Placement	Was the proper Joint Placement and joint orientation was used?	Holy cow! did you even pay attention in class!	Proper Joint Placement, but incorrect joint orientation was used.	one incorrect Joint Placement, or incorrect joint orientation was used.	Proper Joint Placement and joint orientation was used on the character.	432
3	Lighting		Lighting is completely inaccurate and/or inconsistent with the scene.	Lighting is accomplished with considerable inaccuracies and/or lacking shadows.	Lighting and shading is clear and natural with little to no inconsistencies.	A bold use of lighting that reinforces the mood, dynamic and focal point of the image.	230 325 331 420 545
3	Low-Poly Modeling	Did students provided enough detail to show overall form, from the original high poly model with good topology.	Too many errors in topology flow and form the original high poly model. Did you even pay attention in class? Overall models are too simplified, needed to add more detail to the models.	Errors in topology flow and doesn't provided enough detail to show overall form. Broken edges, didn't delete unwanted vertexes. Used too many triangles and/or ngons.	Appropriately modeled in quads and triangles with a few errors in topology flow and overall form. Had a few ngons on the models.	Well considered topology flow - modeled in quads and triangles perfectly. Provided enough detail to show overall form, from the original high poly model.	330

3	Map Baking	How well and skillfully was map Baking tools used? Creating a Mesh ID, normal, AO, curvature, and position maps.	No mapping skills are evident on the 3D object.	Missing maps. Or maps appear to have been constructed with lots of errors from the UVs.	The maps are well executed and appropriate for objects with a few errors or a missing map.	The maps are exceedingly well created and functional for the all the 3D objects.	330
3	Merging Images	Merging images together in a seamless blend	Did not use layer masks.	Implemented layer masks inappropriately	Used layer masks appropriately with no or few errors.	Superior - careful attention to detail, layer masks well executed.	112
3	Mocap Animation	Did the student consider and utilize mocap animation appropriately for the movement?	Not original mocap or mocap that is very messy.	Mocap with noticeable foot-slides and pops. Mocap had noticeable pops and bumps to the movements.	Mocap is technically proficient, blended well without noticeable foot slides. Blended well with little noticeable pops and bumps to the movements.	Mocap is creative and clean, adding substance to the piece.	325
3	Modeling & Overall Geometry	How well and skillfully was the modeling tools and geometry used in the scene?	Basic modeling skills were not evident in the scene.	Models appear to have been inefficiently constructed with inadequate geometry	The models are well executed with the proper amount of geometry.	The models are efficiently constructed and appropriate for the scene.	230 330 373
3	Overall Geometry	Did students model in quads and used edge loops efficiently.	Did not appropriately model in quads (only 80% of the model is in quads) and used edge loops incorrectly.	Appropriately model in quads (only 90% of the model is in quads) and/or used edge loops insignificantly.	Appropriately modeled in quads (95 to 99% of the model is in quads) and used edge loops efficiently.	Well considered and well executed - modeled in quads (100% of the model is in quads) and used edge loops perfectly.	331 420
3	Polygon Limit	Is the character and accessories models over or under the polygon limit that was set for the assignment?		over the limit	under the limit	within polygon limit	330 331 420
3	Polypainting	Student did not use the Arnold render scene.	Student did not use the Arnold render scene.	Shaders and render scene not appropriately setup for use with Arnold.	Rendered in Arnold, however, the scene could use some improvement.	Rendered using Arnold properly with multiple views and made use of DOF.	331

3	Project Guidelines	Were the rules of the assignment followed correctly, culminating in a final product demonstratin g discussed compositional guidelines?	Did not follow the rules of the assignment.	Implemented the rules of the assignment poorly or incorrectly.	The rules of the assignment were followed correctly,but final product could use some improvement.	The rules of the assignment were followed correctly, culminating in a final product that was well executed and demonstrating discussed compositional guidelines.	112
3	Scene Interaction	Does the Unity scene contain a first person controller to navigate within the scene?	The scene lacks a first person controller.	A first person controller is present but is positioned incorrectly: such as intersecting the ground plane	A first person controller is present but contains some attributes that are distracting such as: movement, sound, bounce, etc	The scene contains a first person controller to navigate the scene.	230 373
3	Shader Networks	Did the student consider and utilize shader networks appropriately for the scene?	Did not take shaders into consideration or poorly executed. Alternative shaders should have been used; (Blinn instead of Imabert).	Too many errors implemented incorrectly, alternative shaders should have been used.	Shader networks implemented appropriately with few errors. It is nice and works well, but is very common.	Shader networks implemented and well executed. The shaders networks applied enhanced the scene quality.	330 331 420
3	Shot Composition	Was the composition for each shot well thought out?	Little to no consideration for determining an appropriate composition for the scene.	The scene falls short of the assignment, shows a lack of planning and little evidence of overall aesthetics. Overall scene is too simplified and needed more detail.	Placement of subject matter and camera supports the overall composition of the scene, but lacking elements that would make it standout from the rest.	Placement of subject matter and camera clearly and effectively supports the construct of the scene as well as energizes the conceptual meaning.	325
3	Technical Import	Placement of animations into a game engine.	All animation has not placed into the game engine correctly.	Animation has placed into the game engine correctly with technical problems. The character turns away from the camera at the start of every clip. The character starts to float or sink in the scene as the animation progresses.	Animation has placed into the game engine correctly with some technical problems. The character turns away from the camera at the start of every clip.	All animation has placed into the game engine correctly with no technical problems.	325

3	Texture Arrangement		You have not met the requirements of the brief in most of the requested elements.	Some or all textures require work to make use of their individual properties, to the detriment of assets PBR materials. No or little understanding of the correct utilization of tiling and individual texture/material utilization has been displayed. Your materials may struggle to achieve your research goals.	There is evidence of an understanding of PBR materials through the utilization of individual texture properties. There is also evidence of your understanding on the creation and utilization of tiling and unique UV materials. You have achieved some of the visual goals of your research, but there is room for improvement.	There is clear evidence of a solid understanding of PBR materials through the utilization of individual texture properties. You have conveyed your understanding on the creation and utilization of tiling and unique UV materials through high quality outputs on both fronts. You have clearly achieved a good sense of the visual goals of your research goals.	545
3	Texture Creation and Baking	Were the requested maps baked with minimal errors?	Several of the maps were missing.	One of the maps was missing.	The requested maps were created but some contained several errors.	The requested maps were baked with minimal errors.	373
3	Textures	Did the student consider and utilize textures appropriately for the scene? Color, specular, reflection, and bump/normal	Did not take textures into consideration or poorly executed. Only used simple shaders for texturing.	Textures implemented incorrectly or alternative textures should have been used. Used too many simple shaders for texturing.	Adequate textures were considered and implemented. Missing a few key textures (color, spec, and bump maps) on main objects and only used simple shaders for texturing on a few objects.	All textures are applied to the objects to the scene appropriately. Used color, spec, and bump maps.	331
3	Textures Maps	Did the student consider and utilize textures appropriately for the scene? Color, specular, reflection, bump, normal and/or displacement.	Did not take texture maps into consideration or poorly executed. Textures has little creative energy; it does not have any unique or creative aspects.	Missing textures in several places or errors, textures implemented incorrectly or alternative textures should have been used. Tried to be creative but does not work and does not stand out from the crowd.	Adequate textures were considered and implemented appropriately. Textures look nice and works well, but is not unique; it has a similar look-and-feel that works well, but may not stand out from the crowd.	Diffuse, Spec, Bump/Normal, and displacement map executed correctly with excellent attention to detail.	420
3	Translation		Images have no resemblance to tutorial.	Composition is attended to but brushing is inaccurate or lacks texture.	Image is a definite visual resemblance of source material with little to no errors.	Image not only matches the source but exceeds its overall execution.	110

3	Uniform Spaced Geometry	Used uniform spaced geometry correctly. Geometry was spaced and evenly distributed across the character model.	Did not take uniform spaced geometry into consideration or poorly executed across the model.	Incorrect placement of uniform spaced geometry in certain parts of the model.	Geometry was well spaced and evenly distributed except for one instance or area.	Geometry was well spaced and evenly distributed	331
3	Use of Topology	How skillfully was the topology (edge flow) of the 3d model constructed?	Basic modeling skills were not evident in the scene.	Models appear to have been inefficiently constructed with inadequate topology.	The models are constructed well but contains a few errors in topology.	The models are well executed with great topology (edge flow).	330
3	UV Mapping	How well and skillfully was UV tools used?	No UV mapping skills are evident in the in the scene.	UV maps appear to have been constructed with lots of errors on many objects. Too much overlapping UV's. UVs are not scaled properly to use the 0 to 1 space efficiently.	The UV maps are well executed and appropriate for objects with errors on a few objects. Overlapping UV's or UVs not scaled properly to use the 0 to 1 space efficiently.	The UV maps are exceedingly well created and functional for the all the objects in the scene.	230 330 331 420
3	UV Mapping Techniques	How well and skillfully were the UV tools used? (Automatic mapping is considered unacceptable. )	Minimal to no UV mapping skills are evident in the scene. Several errors are present.	The UV maps have many errors such as overlapping UV's, flipped UV's, improper scale, outside of 0 to 1 space, incorrect resolution and mismatched texel density.	The UV maps have some errors such as overlapping UV's, flipped UV's, improper scale, outside of 0 to 1 space, incorrect resolution and mismatched texel density.	The UV maps are arranged to efficiently use UV space, and maintain the assigned map size(s).	320
3	Weighting	How well done was skinning and paint weighting for the character.	Holy cow! did you even pay attention in class!	Weighting of the Geo to the correct joints is incorrect. Other unwanted joints still effect incorrect areas.	Did a decent job painting weights but, slight movement can be seen in the Geo from unwanted joints.	Skinning and weighting of Geo to joints was executed perfectly.	432
	Outcome #4	-	-		munication related technol		6
	Attributes	Description	Unacceptable	Marginal	Acceptable	Exceptional	Cours e
		Value	0	1	2	3	
4	Animatic	Was the animatic created using proper panels, timing, and sound.	The animatic was incomplete, lacking many elements such as required panels, proper timing and sound.	The animatic was complete but lacking most sound and/or proper timing and still contained several textual elements.	The animatic was complete but lacked some elements such as proper timing, some sound and/or still contained a few textual elements.	The animatic was created in its entirety, containing all storyboard panels (sans textual elements), timing, and sound.	345

4	Asset Creation and Poly Count Utilization	Were assets created in cm or meters, with proper topology, include LOD and modular assets and modeled within poly count?	Overall, assets are too simplified and/or contain numerous errors, and missing several assets for scene.	Did not model in cm or meters, errors in topology, including minimal geometry and lack of assets created for scene, missing and LOD or modular asset.	Modeled in cm or meters with some errors in topology flow and/or some inefficient use of poly count.	Modeled in cm or meters with very few errors in topology, if any, included LOD and modular assets, and utilized poly count efficiently.	373
4	Auto Roll	Was the auto roll set up configured properly?	the auto roll up was not configured.	The auto roll set up was not configured properly. Multiple problems or issues can be found in the setup.	The auto roll set up was not configured properly with one or two problems or issues can be found in the setup.	The auto roll set up configured properly on the legs and neck.	432
4	Character Sheets	Are all required character sheets present and effectively communicate character attributes?	No character sheets are present and/or demonstrate minimal effort.	One of the character sheets are missing and/or need significant improvement.	All character sheets are present but need some improvement.	All required character sheets are present and effectively communicate the attributes of the character.	345
4	Continuity		The story's continuity is unclear and sometimes confusing; there are confusing breaks in time, many jump cuts or incongruities in between shots or scenes.	The idea of the story comes across but in terms of continuity, it could have been executed better; there are a few breaks in continuity and some jump cuts.	Continuity is executed well, but there were a few missed opportunities in this regard to make it more effective or there are incongruities between shots or scenes.	Overall, the continuity is executed well; the action is clear, coherent and presented effectively without jump cuts or incongruities.	345
4	Document Consistency	Were the documents organized efficiently and demonstrate consistency among revisions?	Most components of the project were not properly revised to efficiently communicate the story consistently.	Many components of the project were not properly revised to efficiently communicate the story consistently.	Most components of the project were properly revised to efficiently communicate the story consistently but some components of the project were lacking somewhat in revision.	All components of the project were properly revised to efficiently communicate the story consistently (logline, beat sheet, plot summary, script, shot list, storyboards, and animatic).	345
4	Following Tutorial Intructions	How well were the instructions in the tutorial followed?	The instructions in the tutorial were not followed.	The tutorial instructions were partially followed, with few related tools used in the scene.	The animation is constructed well according to tutorial instructions. However, some errors are evident.	The animation was well constructed. Tools used in the tutorial were clearly used in the scene.	325

4	Narrative Conveyance		You have failed to convey any sense of a cohesive narrative in your work, with animation that has little to no sense of urgency and emotion.	You have failed to maintain a positive adherence to the script and storyboards in your animation, and have not advanced them through the medium of 3d animation. There is also no notable sense of emotion within your work.	You have for the most part maintained a positive connection between your work and the original source material. You have a solid sense of narrative conveyance, and the emotion of the scene is also present.	You have done very good work in achieving the narrative goals of the original source material. Emotion and urgency are well presented within your animation here, and the narrative of the storyboards have been not only translated, but enhanced within the 3d medium.	545
4	Photogrammet ry	Did students use their own photogramm etry objects in the scene?	The student created no object using photogrammetry in the scene.	0	The student created one object using photogrammetry in the scene.	The student created one or more objects using photogrammetry in the scene.	420
4	Principles of Animation	The integration, look or style of your animation as it pertains to the Principles of Animation.	Lack of effort to produce a visually unified or stunning piece.	Some evidence of the Principles of Animation being integrated.	Principles of Animation are utilized consistently presenting a solid design.	Design is unique and well crafted as well as consistent throughout the entirety of the piece.	240 441
4	Rendering Setup	How well and skillfully was camera, lighting effects, & rendering tools used?	The renders shows no or limited use or knowledge of the render setting tools.	Basic skills are evident from the render settings, but contain errors to achieve better renders and does not stand out from the crowd.	Tools and techniques were used well to produce a quality render of the scene, including appropriate rendering settings and efficiently.	Tools and techniques were used flawlessly to produce a superior renders of the scene with clear planning and intent.	420
4	Storytelling	Was the story effectively told in the narrative/stor y.	No broad entertainment value.	Several confusing parts; plot is unclear; communication of story lacks focus or energy.	Some mistakes in plot line but mistakes are minor; some parts of the story lack focus.	The storyline of the product is interesting and entertaining; plot is communicated effectively; all elements of story are present.	325
4	Texture Creation, Baking, and Exporting	Were required maps appropriately created, baked, and exported with minimal errors?	Several of the maps were missing and/or demonstrated minimal texturing, baking, and exporting ability.	Some maps were missing and/or or contained many errors in texture creation or baking.	The requested maps were created but some contained several errors, including some reversed normals, or some lacking in texture creation.	The required maps were efficiently created, baked, and exported with minimal errors.	373

4	Unity Scene	Are the	Scene is severely	Scene composition	Scene composition	Scene composition	373
7	Construction & Interaction	models arranged in Unity to demonstrate a communicate d meaning and composition and contain a first or third person controller to navigate within the scene?	lacking in composition, does not support the game concept and lacks a character controller.	minimally communicates a story and a likeness to the game concept and contains several inconsistencies/errors along with no character controller.	communicates a story and a likeness to the game concept with a few inconsistencies/errors and/or a character controller is not placed properly in the scene.	communicates a story and a likeness to the game concept and contains an appropriate character controller to navigate the scene.	575
4	UV Layout, Organization, and Atlasing	How well and skillfully were UV tools used to create efficient layouts for a game environment?	Minimal to no UV mapping skills are evident in the scene.	UV maps are constructed with several errors such as, incorrect scale or outside or significant inefficient use of 0 to 1 space, minimal atlasing demonstrated.	The UV maps are mostly well created with some errors such as, improper scale, outside and/or efficient use of 0 to 1 space, missing some atlasing.	The UV maps are well created, functional for assets, arranged to efficiently use UV space, maintain provided map size(s) and atlasing guidelines, possibly containing a few negligible errors.	373
4	Visually Compelling	Does the image work overall. Choices of principles of composition used to create the image.	Did not take visual elements or principles of composition into consideration.	Utilized 1 or 2 of the principles of composition or visual elements, but not well considered or executed.	Collaboration of visual elements and principles of composition implemented appropriately with no or few errors.	Superior implementation of collaboration of principles of composition and visual elements.	112
	Outcome #5	Communicate e	ffectively in written form	at on research and creati	ve issues. (Written)		
	Attributes	Description	Unacceptable	Marginal	Acceptable	Exceptional	Cours e
		Value	0	1	2	3	
5	Analysis quality	Was a clear and well understood analysis presented?	The assignment was minimally completed.	The analysis was minimal in ideas and support, demonstrating a lack of understanding of the material.	A more detailed and intuitive analysis should have been provided to demonstrate more understanding of the material.	A detailed and intuitive analysis was provided.	345

5	Content quantity	Was the quantity of content required by the assignment present and creatively expressed?	The quantity of the analysis fell short of assignment instructions.	The quantity of content fell somewhat short of assignment instructions.	The quantity of content required by the assignment was present but could use some improvement.	The quantity of content required by the assignment was present and creatively expressed.	345
5	Formatting	Was the document formatted and content organized according to assignment instructions?	The assignment was formatted incorrectly.	The document contained several formatting errors, making it difficult to ascertain if the correct information was provided.	The content provided contained some formatting errors.	The document was formatted exactly to assignment instructions making it easy to navigate through the content.	345
5	Formatting & Grammar	Were correct formatting and grammar demonstrated throughout the story?	Formatting/grammar errors were predominant and unacceptable.	Formatting/grammar errors are significant and distracting to the flow of the analysis.	Multiple formatting/grammar that begin to disturb the flow of the analysis.	No or negligible formatting/grammar errors	345
5	Formatting, Grammar & Spelling	Was the document formatted and content organized according to assignment instructions with careful attention to grammar and spelling?	The assignment was formatted incorrectly and/or with several grammar and spelling errors.	The document contained several formatting and/or grammar & spelling errors, making it difficult to ascertain if the correct information was provided.	The content provided contained some formatting and/or grammar & spelling errors.	The document was formatted exactly to assignment instructions, including attention to grammar & spelling, making it easy to navigate through the content.	345
5	Grammar & Spelling	Is the document free of grammatical and spelling errors?	The document contains too many grammatical and /or spelling errors and is unacceptable for the assignment.	The document contains several grammatical and/or spelling errors and is distracting to read.	The document contains some grammatical and/or spelling errors but they are negligible.	The document contains no grammatical or spelling errors.	345
5	Grammar and Style	Is the paper written with proper sentence structure and variety, punctuation, grammar and spelling?	Significant errors in sentence structure and variety; many errors in punctuation, spelling and/or capitalization. Errors interfere with meaning, communication, and are distracting to the reader. Proofreading is not evident.	Errors in sentence structure and variety; many errors of punctuation, spelling and/or capitalization. Errors interfere with meaning in places demonstrating that proofreading is not evident.	Effective and varied sentences; some errors in sentence construction; occasional punctuation, spelling and/or capitalization errors.	Each sentence is structured effectively, well-chosen with a variety of sentence styles; virtually free of punctuation, spelling, and capitalization errors.	345

5	Reflective Commentary/A nalysis	Is information/a nalysis well written and supported with appropriate visuals? Are all observations representativ e of critical thinking and provide practical suggestions for future learning and improvement ?	Significant information is missing or incomplete and observations/commen tary do not reflect critical thinking or provide practical suggestions for future learning and improvement.	Information is missing or incomplete and observations/commen tary minimally reflect critical thinking or provide practical suggestions for future learning and improvement.	Most of the observations/commenta ry represent growth and include goals for continued learning, needing minimal improvement.	Observation/analysis is well written and supported with appropriately related visuals. All commentary is representative of critical thinking and provides for practical suggestions for future learning and improvement.	112 230 320 345 373
5	Sources and Evidence	Does the paper demonstrate appropriate use of sources to support ideas?	Demonstrates an attempt to support ideas but little to no evidence is supplied to reinforce opinions/statements.	Demonstrates an attempt to use credible/relevant sources to support ideas. Some evidence is provided but not enough to develop argument in a unified form.	Demonstrates consistent use of credible/relevant sources to support ideas. Evidence is accurate, well documented, and relevant but incomplete in some areas.	Demonstrates use of high quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas. Evidence is accurate, relevant, well integrated, well documented and appropriate.	345
5	Title, Genre, Logline	Were the title, logline, and genre provided for the chosen film?	Title, logline, and genre not provided.	One or more of the requested components are missing.	The title, logline, and genre are present but some components are not appropriate to the title and/or one of these components is not provided.	The title, logline, and genre are present and appropriate for the title.	345
5	Writeup	How well was the report written. Did the report include a narration and an asset list of models and textures used in the project and where they come from.	No report filed	Missing key elements in the report.	0	Report was written with the proper criteria.	420
5	Write-up	Description of project - triumphs and pitfalls of the project	No paper.	Poorly written with errors and less than a page.	Written with no or few errors and less than a page.	Written effectively and full length of page.	112

5	Writing Conventions: Formatting, Grammar, and Spelling	Are writing conventions appropriately expressed: sentence construction, grammar, capitalization, punctuation, and spelling?	There are several errors in writing conventions: sentence construction, grammar, capitalization, punctuation, and spelling requiring major editing and revision.	There are many errors in writing conventions: sentence construction, grammar, spelling & punctuation, and ineffective word choice.	The text has some negligible errors in regard to writing conventions.	No or few errors in sentence construction, grammar, capitalization, punctuation, or spelling	112 230 320 345 373
	Outcome #6	Communicate e	ffectively in oral format o	on research and creative is	ssues. (Oral)		
	Attributes	Description	Unacceptable	Marginal	Acceptable	Exceptional	Cours e
		Value	0	1	2	3	
6	Body language		Immobile, hands in pockets, or blocked screen	Did not always indicate how material on the screen was related to presentation	Consistently used gestures to coordinate oral and visual presentation	Excellent use gestures to provide emphasis	230 373
6	Distracting mannerisms		Presentation full of distracting mannerisms such as giggling or chewing gum	Some distracting mannerisms	No distracting mannerisms	Superior presentation	230
6	Hesitations, other voice habits		Presentation full of hesitations, ums, ahs, etc.	Some hesitations, ums, ahs, etc.	Clear, continuous presentation, perhaps a few ums, ahs, etc.	Superior presentation, free of ums, ahs, etc.	230
6	Maintaining eye contact		No eye contact with audience at all	Poor eye contact, looking down or at screen significant portion of time	Maintained eye contact other than quick glances at screen	Maintained eye contact with all segments of the audience	230 373
6	Poise		Clearly unsure, nervous, confused	At times appears unsure nervous, confused	Composed at all times	Exudes/convey confidence	230
6	Voice Volume, Enunciation & Speed Outcome #7	How well spoken the presentation was executed?	Unintelligible.	Voice hard to hear, words slurred or voice trails off, spoke too slow or too fast, monotone with little emphasis.	Voice clearly heard, words clearly enunciated, did not speak to slowly or too rapidly media and computer graph	Voice projected very well, clear enunciation, did not speak too slowly or rapidly	230 345 373

Attributes Description Unacceptable Marginal Acceptable Exceptional Cours е 0 1 2 3 Value Most if not all of the Detailed and insightful Detailed and insightful 7 Commentary Did the Several of the critique 112 230 student meet critique responses responses were commentary on most commentary on all the were either missing or lacking in detail and critique but lacking in a critiques per 345 insight, falling short of requirements inadequate. few responses. assignment for the requirements. instructions. critique responses?

7	Critique Posts	Were a at least two reply posts completed: one for favorite image and one for needing refinement?	No reply posts.	Both reply posts were late.	One reply post was late and/or missing one required reply post.	At least two reply posts were completed on time: one for favorite image and one for needing refinement.	112
7	Critiques Replies	Were all required reply posts completed?	No reply posts.	Several reply posts were missing.	Some reply posts were missing.	All reply posts were completed.	345
7	Textual Content	Does the document contain a detailed description about the composition of the image and how this relates to the viewer?	No textual content is present.	The description is severely lacking in analysis of the image and how it relates to the viewer.	A description is present but lacking somewhat in detail and could have been improved.	The document contains a detailed description about the composition of the image and how it relates to the viewer.	345
7	Textual Content Moral & Theme	Did the textual content provided by the student include explanation, examples, and insight?	The textual content provided did not address the requirements of the assignment.	Textual content provided is present but minimal.	Content provided was acceptable but lacking in description.	All content was detailed and descriptive.	345
7	Writing Content	Does the paper demonstrate engagement with the topic, exploring varied perspectives and valid observations?	Demonstrated minimal engagement with the topic, failing to recognize multiple perspectives and lacking basic observations.	Demonstrates some understanding and engagement with the topic. Offers basic observations with little insight.	Demonstrates understanding and engagement of the topic, recognizes multiple facets of film analysis, offering some insight but could have elaborated or been improved.	Demonstrates significant understanding and engagement of the topic, clearly recognizes multiple facets of film analysis, offering considerable depth and insight.	345

	Attributes	Description	Unacceptable	Marginal	Acceptable	Exceptional	Cours e
		Value	0	1	2	3	
8	Teamwork	the ability to work in a group environment.	Didn't show up to class or group meetings with little or no contribution to the project.	Was present in class and group meetings, added little contribution to the project.	Was present in class and group meetings, gave a good contribution to the project.	Was reliable and performed a large contribution to the project.	325 545

	Outcome #9	(Process)	tively and individually with	th an understanding of th	e production process utilize	a in industry-standard sti	Jaios.
	Attributes	Description	Unacceptable	Marginal	Acceptable	Exceptional	Cours e
		Value	0	1	2	3	
9	3D and Game Software Organization	Was the scene organized properly in the 3D software; naming, grouping, and layout?	Little to no scene organization implemented.	Minimal scene organization implemented: several objects unnamed and/or not grouped or laid out appropriately.	Most scene organization elements implemented: missing some naming and grouping.	The file was named according to assignment instructions.	373
9	Camera & Rendering	How well and skillfully was camera, lighting effects, & rendering tools used?	The render shows no or limited use or knowledge of tools. The scene appears to be thrown together.	Basic skills are evident from the render including some anti- aliasing, and lighting and camera effects.	Tools were used well to produce a quality render of the scene, including appropriate anti-aliasing, and lighting and camera effects.	Tools were used flawlessly to produce a superior render of the scene with clear planning and skillful used of all appropriate tools.	325 420
9	Camera, Lighting, & Rendering	Was the scene considered and constructed to convey meaning and utilize an effective combination of aesthetic lighting and rendering?	The scene shows little evidence of thought, regarding content and the elements and principles of lighting & rendering.	The scene falls short of the assignment, shows a lack of planning and little evidence of overall aesthetics.	Lighting was considered and implemented appropriately but lacking elements that would make it standout from the rest.	The scene shows an awareness of a effective lighting.	331
9	Document Submission	Were all components of the project submitted and submitted with the correct file naming?	Many components of the project were not submitted according to assignment instructions; incorrect file naming and/or missing more than one document.	More than one component of the project was not submitted according to assignment instructions: incorrect file naming and/or missing a document.	Some components of the project were not submitted according to assignment instructions: incorrect file naming.	All components of the project were submitted according to assignment instructions: documents and correct file naming.	345

#### Work collaboratively and individually with an understanding of the production process utilized in industry-standard studios. Outcome #9

9	Environment Composition, Interaction, and Aesthetic	Is the scene laid out in the Game Engine intuitively with particles, baked lighting, audio, and a cohesive aesthetic with a character controller designed for effective player interaction?	Several errors in environment composition, interaction, and aesthetic. Minimal scene cohesiveness and interaction demonstrated.	Lacking in a cohesive aesthetic, effective environmental composition and/or missing audio, particles, baked lighting, or a character controller to interact with the scene.	Scene layout could use improvement with a cohesive aesthetic and/or missing some audio, particles, or baked lighting.	The scene is laid out in the Game Engine intuitively, containing particles, baked lighting, audio, a cohesive aesthetic and a character controller.	373
9	File Naming and Format	Were required file naming and file format followed?	Several file names and file formats were not followed correctly.	More than one file name and/or file format was followed incorrectly.	A file name and/or file format was followed incorrectly.	File naming and file format were followed without error.	345
9	File Organization and Formats	Did students follow instructions in saving their project and images.	Did not follow instructions or file structure. Only one working file.	Partially followed instructions and file structure. Partially working files	Partially followed instructions file and structure. All work files work appropriately.	Instructions and file structure implemented appropriately. All files and images work appropriately.	432
9	File Structure	Implementati on of file naming conventions & directory formats.	Did not follow file naming conventions in any form.	More than one file naming convention & directory format was incorrectly implemented.	At least one file naming convention & directory format was incorrectly implemented.	All file naming conventions & directory format were followed correctly.	112
9	File Structure & Formats	Did Students follow instructions in saving their project, substances and images?	Did not follow instructions for naming conventions and/or file structure.	Partially followed instructions: file structure, naming, and working files.	Followed instructions and file structure with a few errors.	Instructions and file structure implemented perfectly: file structure, naming, and working files.	320
9	File Structure & Layer Organization	Implementati on of file naming & file structure. Naming layers properly.	Both file naming & structure and naming layers was implemented incorrectly.	Implemented 1 of the file naming & structures or naming layers properly was followed with errors.	One or both file naming & structure and naming layers was correctly followed with few errors.	Both file naming & structure and naming layers was correctly followed.	112

9	File Structure and Formats	Did Students follow instructions (setting project, all required folders and files in their proper locations, and naming conventions) in saving their project and images?	Did not follow instructions or file structure.	Significant errors in file structure and format: file structure, naming, and working files.	Followed instructions and file structure with a few errors: improper naming, missing files/folders, no MEL script file.	Instructions and file structure implemented perfectly: file structure, naming, and working files.	230
9	File Structure, Naming, and Working Files	Were naming conventions, 3D model project and game project folder and files organized appropriately ?	Did not follow instructions for naming conventions and/or file structure.	Partially followed instructions: file structure, naming, and working files.	Followed instructions and file structure with a few errors.	Instructions and file structure implemented perfectly: file structure, naming, and working files.	373
9	File Structures and Formats	Did students follow instructions in saving their project and images.	Did not follow instructions or file structure. Only one working file.	Partially followed instructions and file structure. Partially working files.	Partially followed instructions file and structure. All work files work appropriately. appropriately.	Instructions and file structure implemented appropriately. All work files work appropriately.	325 330 331 420
9	File Submission	Did you turn in the requested type of file in the right place and were the compression settings and file name correct?	File submitted in incorrect format or in incorrect folder.	File submitted in correct format and location but with incorrect compression settings.	File submitted correctly but with incorrect filename.	File submitted with all the desired settings accounted to.	110 117 240 441
9	First Post	Did the student place their first post with renders and report on the discussion board by the designated time?	No first post	Late First Post	First post on time but was missing some of the required information.	First post on time and contained all of the required information (renders and report information).	230
9	Game Scene Post- Processing	Were post- processing techniques utilized and a final scene	No walk through was created.	A walk through was created but could use significant improvement and/or post processing	The walk through could use some improvement and/or some required post-processing techniques were not	Post-processing techniques were utilized and placed with the appropriate camera followed with the creation of a walk	373

-							
9	Material/Textu re Creation and Baking	Were the requested texture maps and materials created?	Several of the texture maps were missing and/or needed considerable improvement.	Some of the texture maps were missing and/or needed significant refinement to properly express the intentions of the scene.	The requested texture maps were created but contained some errors and/or needed refinement.	The requested texture maps were baked with minimal errors and textures were created demonstrating appropriateness to the scene and clear understanding of the tools.	320
9	Model Export and Import	Were the models exported from Maya in the proper format and successfully imported into Unity?	Models insufficiently exported from Maya and/or imported in Unity incorrectly and/or insufficient to no shader/texture application and no colliders.	Models exported from Maya and imported into Unity with several errors such as file type, scale, texture/shader application, and/or no colliders applied.	Models exported from Maya with some errors such as incorrect file format, scale, and imported into Unity with minimal errors with shader and texture application in Unity scene and/or some colliders are not applied.	Models exported from Maya in correct scale (cm) and file format and correctly imported into Unity along with correct shader and texture application in Unity scene, and colliders are applied to each model with few errors if any.	230
9	Online Post	Was the original discussion post created by the due date?	No original discussion post.	Late original discussion post.	Missing a component of the post.	Original discussion post was on time and contained all required information: final image and metadata.	112 345
9	Outliner Organized	Is the rig organized inside the outliner.	Unacceptable	Marginal	Acceptable	Exceptional	432
9	Quality of Work	Does the work achieve a level of integrity parallel to industry standards?	Quality of work is subpar or that of a beginning level class.	Work is readable but is evident that more practice is necessary.	Work shows evidence of growth, understanding and development.	Work displays symptoms and/or characteristics synonymous with industry standards.	420 493 545
9	Reply Posts	Did the student provide critiques to all of the posts in their designated group and on time?	No reply posts	Too many late reply posts and/or did not respond to several group members' posts.	Short on reply posts.	All reply posts made on time.	230
9	Scene Organization	Was the scene organized properly in the Outliner and Attribute Editor including naming and grouping of models and materials/text ures?	Little to no scene organization implemented and/or missing several required models for the scene.	Minimal scene organization implemented: Didn't name several objects and/or materials/textures. Didn't group enough objects to produce a cleaner scene. Missing more than one model in scene.	Most scene organization elements implemented: Didn't name some objects and/or materials/textures. Could've grouped more objects to produce a cleaner scene. Missing a required a model for the scene.	All scene organization elements implemented: Named all objects and/or materials/textures. Grouped all necessary objects to produce a cleaner scene, all required models in scene.	230 320 330 331 373

9	Task Management	Is a solid workflow evident? Does the assignment feel complete with sufficient time devoted to its execution?	Project is clearly incomplete.	Work is evident but piece still clearly needs more time for completion.	Successful workflow is apparent. Project feels complete but refinements could still be made.	Assignment looks like a completely finalized piece. All the I's are dotted and T's are crossed.	110 117 240 441
9	Unity Importation	Was the model properly imported into Unity and the textures applied correctly?	The model was not imported into Unity.	The model was imported into Unity where many errors or missing textures were evident.	The model was appropriately exported from Maya and imported into Unity where the textures were applied but some errors were evident.	The model was appropriately exported from Maya and imported into Unity where the textures were correctly applied.	373
9	Web Gallery	Implementati on & organization of website gallery.	Did not have website gallery.	Several components to the website gallery format were implemented incorrectly.	One website gallery format element was implemented incorrectly.	Website gallery format was followed correctly.	112
	Outcome #10	-	_		dependence. (Professionalis		
	Attributes	Description	Unacceptable	Marginal	Acceptable	Exceptional	Cours e
		Value	0	1	2	3	
10	Content - Professional	Does the amount of content justify the amount of time allocated?	Very little work exhibited compared to the amount of time allocated.	Amount of work seems acceptable but with an excessive amount of unfinished projects.	A solid bulk of finished work with minimal unfinished pieces.	It is evident that time was spent above and beyond that allocated to create an impressive amount of finished pieces.	493
10	Organization		The sequence of information is not logical; paths to information are not evident; the user must backtrack to navigate the site.	The sequence of information is somewhat logical; paths are confusing and flawed; the user need backtrack to navigate to other portions of the site.	The sequence of information is logical; paths to most information are clear and direct; the user can navigate most anywhere on the site without needing to backtrack.	The sequence of information is logical and intuitive; menus and paths to all information are clear and direct; the user is able to navigate to anywhere in the site without having to backtrack.	345
10	Organization - Professional	Is your content organized and easy to sift through for a recruiter? Does it quickly display your trade, reel and intent?	Blog is difficult to navigate. Content is sporadic with no reasonable chronology.	Blog exhibits a basic structure but seems to jump around.	The blog is well organized and easy to navigate.	The blog gives the immediate impression of who you are, what you do, and makes your reel readily available in the beginning.	493

10	Professionalis m - Blog Post	Did the blog post exhibit a professional appearance and contain professional content?	The blog post contained content irrelevant to research and problem-solving, exhibiting a high level of unprofessionalism.	The blog post was quite lacking in professionalism, containing a large amount of textual content that did not exhibit research and problem-solving efforts.	The blog post was mostly professional, but contained some textual content that did not exhibit research and problem-solving efforts.	The blog post was professional, containing images indicative of progress and textual explanation of possible problems encountered and appropriate solutions.	373
10	Professionalis m - ePortfolio	Is content appropriate for the intended audience and does it relate to the purpose of the assignment?	Content is inconsistent and unsuitable for the intended audience, containing unorganized, unrelated, and inappropriate elements.	Content contains many unprofessional elements, unrelated to the purpose of the eportfolio, that are unorganized, unclear, and somewhat unprofessional.	Most content is professional and appropriately related to the purpose of the ePortfolio. A few elements are unorganized or unrelated.	Content is relevant, well-thought out, professionally executed, and suitable for an academic as well as a wider audience.	112 230 320 345
10	Slideshow	Did the slideshow contain all of the required information for the presentation?	Unacceptable slideshow presentation. Did not follow assignment instructions.	Slideshow contains minimal information and minimally follows assignment instructions.	Slideshow contains most required information.	Slideshow contains all required information as detailed in the assignment instructions.	230
10	Storyboard	Storyboard completion and textual/visual readability.	Storyboard requires several revisions before final submission.	Storyboard needs significant improvement in formatting, visual reading, completion.	Visuals need improvement; storyboard language lacking; need formatting revisions.	Storyboard visuals read well, formatted correctly, few if any errors in storyboard construction.	345
10	Usability and Accessibility: Site Navigation, Tex t Elements, Layout, and Color	Is the ePortfolio intuitively organized and the post pleasing to read? Blocks of text and bullet points utilized, font style and type size vary appropriately, text and color palette are suitable and aesthetically pleasing.	The ePortfolio post is overall difficult to read due to inappropriate use of font styles, type size, textual organization, and color palette.	The ePortfolio needs much improvement: unorganized posts, mostly difficult to read due to inappropriate use of font style, type size, long paragraphs, color palette.	The ePortfolio needs some improvement such as: site navigation, use of font style and type size, color palette.	The ePortfolio is intuitively organized and the post is pleasing to read: blocks of text and bullet points utilized, font style and type size vary appropriately, text and color palette are suitable and aesthetically pleasing.	112 230 320 345 373

10	Visual Communicatio n	Were all of the required visual elements present and did they work together to create a clear and compelling description that would attract the interest of the audience?	The post was significantly lacking in the required visual elements and/or severely lacked in supporting the clarity of and effectively communicating the project to the audience.	Many of the required visual elements were not present and/or lacked significantly in supporting the clarity of and effectively communicating the project to the audience.	Some of the required visual elements were not present and/or lacked in supporting the clarity of and effectively communicating the project to the audience.	All of the required visual elements were present and supported the communication objective adding to the clarity of and effectively communicating to, the audience.	112 230 320 345 373	1
----	-----------------------------	---	--	---	---	--	---------------------------------	---